



BH Skate Park Working Party MEETING MINUTES

Date:	12-APR-2022 (SP002)
Time:	6:30 pm
Location:	The Fox
Called By:	Dave Wright (Chair)
Summary:	Scheduled Monthly meeting
Note Taker:	Andy Pellew (Secretary)
Documents:	REF1: Minutes of meeting held on 22 nd March
	REF2: Northstowe Town Council response
	REF3: Skatepark potential sites – land ownership (from Parish Council)
	REF4: WhatsApp Chat – Export (including media attachments) up to 7 th April 2022
	REF5 Proposed Skatepark Location.jpg

00	<p>Apologies for absence – Geoff Caswell (GC)</p> <p>Present: Parish Councillors: Andy Pellew (AP, Secretary), Anthony Mitchell (AM, Vice Chair), Geof Tamulonis (GT) Other members: Stephen Barker (SB), Stephen Keeves (SK), Eddie Wright (EW), and Tom Swanser (TS), Brian Howard (BH)</p>
01	<p>Minutes of Previous Meeting – 22nd March 2022</p> <p>Updates were provided as shown.</p> <p>SB reported that, as it was school holidays, the Primary School had yet to respond to his enquiries.</p>
02	<p>Declaration of interests for any agenda item below</p> <p>Bar Hill Parish Council: AP, AM, and GT Bar Hill Residents Association: AP Bar Hill Community Association: AP, and AM</p>
03	No announcements from the Chair

10	<p>A discussion was had around maintenance of the two sites</p> <p>A member raised the issue of materials to use</p> <p>DW confirmed that he had been in touch with companies and that the view that had been communicated to him was that outdoor skateparks should be concrete only</p> <p>Members raised concerns around the petrol station site for an outdoor skatepark; proximity to the A14, “out of the village”, access for emergency services, and whether the neighbours on the business park would support it</p> <p>Members suggested that for an indoor park the petrol station might be a good site</p> <p>A member suggested pursuing both indoor and outdoor options</p>
----	---

	<p>PROPOSAL: Outdoor park on the old skatepark site, indoor park on the petrol station site. Both proposals to be developed side-by-site. DW proposed. AM seconded. All in favour</p>
11 & 12	<p>Agenda items were combined and discussed together</p> <p>A member raised skatepark and bmx park distinction and how important it was to design for all users</p> <p>A member expressed the view (with wide support) that larger ramps were better (6ft was suggested)</p> <p>A member suggested that we should aim to utilise the entire area rather than just the existing (old) base</p> <p>George, AM, and TS to meet contractors</p> <p>A member suggested that CamSkate also attend (Dave was suggested)</p> <p>DW brought along documents – attached as SP002.DW01 to SP002.DW05 – for discussion</p> <p>DW reported that the approximate cost for the proposal was between £200-300k</p>
13	<p>A member suggested that it was important for the working party itself to raise money</p> <p>AP pointed out the complexities of specific fundraising – including that companies and grant organisations, once they go through the process of awarding money, are reluctant to have it handed back if the project doesn't succeed (we would need to be at the “committed to development” stage to truly engage with them). AP to discuss in more detail at next meeting</p> <p>A member asked about the BHCA doing an event (AP to write to BHCA)</p> <p>A member suggested a stall at the Village Fete (DW to contact Fete Committee)</p> <p>AP proposed writing an article for the Bar Hill News (AP to action)</p> <p>A member suggested setting up a GoFundMe page (or similar)</p> <p>GT raised splitting the project into pieces of equipment and getting a piece of equipment a time</p> <p>SK raised sponsorship/ advertising from companies</p> <p>A member raised the issue of publicity</p> <p>AP agreed to look at fundraising for the next meeting</p>
14	<p>A member suggested we might want sponsorship of the park – for example “the XXX skatepark” – so we might want to hold off</p> <p>The proposal was to use the interim name “Saxon Park” for the area of ground covered by the old skatepark. Proposed by AM, BH seconded. All in favour.</p>
15	<p>A discussion was had around this item, it was agreed this was not clear. The discussion revolved around the concern that if multiple options were proposed the vote could be split. For example; No skatepark 40%, indoor skatepark 30%, outdoor skatepark 30% - is this a majority for a skatepark or a majority for no skatepark?</p> <p>AP agreed to bring this back to a future meeting in a way that is clearer.</p>
16	<p>It was agreed that it was too soon to plan for the Parish Poll (see item 15 above), and that we would put the options together for the working party rather than targeting the poll.</p> <p>Proposal was amended to be; What, Where, Build cost, Maintenance cost, and how it will be paid for. DW proposed, AP seconded. All in favour.</p> <p>AM to circulate details of the Parish Council AGM.</p>

17	Friday is a likely date for this meeting, multiple volunteers, meeting to be coordinated via WhatsApp group	
97	<p>Fundraising and Parish Poll to be returned as items for a future (not necessarily next) meeting.</p> <p>Other options to be discussed via WhatsApp.</p>	(oral)
98	<p>Discussion around next meeting as a number of members have meetings at 7:30 after this meeting. It was proposed to start at 6pm instead of 6:30 but this would mean members from Cambridge could not attend and so was rejected.</p> <p>As the 7:30 meetings were in Social Club it was agreed to move this meeting there – removing the travel time issue and reducing the time needed between meetings.</p> <p>Date and location of next meeting: 10th May 2022 @ 6:30 pm. Location was changed to the Sports and Social Club.</p> <p>AP to cancel Fox bookings.</p>	(oral)
99	Thanks & Close	(oral)

	<p>Dated: ____ - ____ - ____</p> <p>Andy Pellew (Chair of Trustees)</p>
--	---